1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	DONALD C HAYES,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:16-CV-05095-BHS-DWC
12	v.	ORDER
13	STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	
14	et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	The District Court has referred this action, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, to United	
17	States Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. On March 16, 2017, the Court ordered Plaintiff	
18	Donald C. Hayes to file a fourth amended complaint to correct deficiencies in his Third	
19	Amended Complaint. See Dkt. 137. In the Order, the Court stated:	
20	Upon receipt of the fourth amended complaint, the Court will screen the pleading	
21	and determine if Plaintiff has cured the deficiencies identified in this order. Defendants shall not file a response to the fourth amended complaint until so ordered by this Court.	
22		
23	<i>Id.</i> at p. 3.	
24		

On April 13, 2017, Plaintiff filed his Fourth Amended Complaint. Dkt. 140. In the Fourth Amended Complaint, Plaintiff alleges claims against Defendants Department of Corrections, Elizabeth Suiter, Amy Reves, Sara Smith, and Tuan Duong. See id. The Court has screened the Fourth Amended Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and directs these five Defendants to file and serve an answer to the Fourth Amended Complaint or a motion permitted under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on or before May 19, 2017. Dated this 19th day of April, 2017. United States Magistrate Judge ¹ While Plaintiff corrected some deficiencies found in the Third Amended Complaint, the Court notes Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Complaint may still suffer from deficiencies. For example, the claims alleged against Defendant Suiter appear to be barred by the statute of limitations. See Dkt. 13, 140. However, as Defendants have been served in this case, the Court declines to dismiss any claims at this time and directs Defendants to raise any defenses in responsive pleadings.